	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/713,222	TAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Seungsook Ham	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Seungsook Ham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Richard LaCava</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 January 2005	Time:	1
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		* 1
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: Yamaguchi (JP 2001308611)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examiner's Amendment		
Part III.		•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)